arXiv:physics/0407150v1 [physics.gen-ph] 30 Jul 2004

LABORATORY PHYSICS AND COSMOLOGY[1]

Antonio Alfonso-Faus
E.U.I.T. Aeronáutica, Spain
Plaza Cardenal Cisneros s/n
MADRID 28040, SPAIN

Abstract

Following four different fundamental principles, in addition to Weinberg's universal relation, we find five reasons demanding that any gravitational mass m, and the speed of light c, vary with cosmological time (the masses increasing linearly with time and the speed of light decreasing with time, in such a way that the product mc remains constant). This is required by the universal condition of conservation of momentum in a Universe with spatial homogeneity: a consequence of the Milne-Einstein COSMOLOGICAL PRINCIPLE. We prove that this is consistent with Einstein's Theory of General Relativity (through the application of the Action Principle). We call this effect a "MASS BOOM". At the LAB system no such time variations can be detected, unless we make comparisons with cosmological observations (i.e. using different references far away from the LAB, e.g. measuring the red shift from distant galaxies in terms of the LAB standards). We have to stress that the physical conditions implied by a time varying mass, like the Mass Boom establishes, together with a time varying speed of light, preserving the constancy of momentum, are compatible with Einstein's field equations. We then integrate his cosmological equations and find the solution for the cosmological scale factor as $a(t) = \text{constant} \cdot t^2$, implying an apparent accelerated expansion for the Universe, as seen from the LAB frame. This is the interpretation given to recent observations obtained from the Supernova Type Ia. The determination of the scale factor as $a(t) = \text{constant} \cdot t^2$ is based upon a LAB interpretation and therefore is an apparent effect. On the other hand we note that the product ct being a constant determines the real Universe as a static one, of constant size, in accordance with Einsteins first proposal. The observed red shift at the LAB system is due to a real shrinkage of the quantum world, due to the decrease in size of the quantum particles determined by a decreasing Planck's "constant" $\hbar$ (quantum sizes are of order $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$, where mc remains constant).

Key words: Cosmology, Action Principle, fundamental physical constants, gravity quanta, supernova, Mach's principle, General Relativity.

[1]Accepted for publication in *Physics Essays* in 2004.

Résumé

On se base en quatre principes fondamentaux différents, et en plus la relation universelle de Weinberg, on trouve cinq raisons qui exigent que n'importe quelle masse de la gravité m, et la vitesse de la lumière c, changent avec le temps cosmologique (les masses augmentant linéairement avec le temps et la vitesse de la lumière diminuant avec le temps, de telle manière que le produit mc reste constant). Ceci est exigé par la condition universelle de la conservation du moment dans un univers avec homogénéité spatiale: une conséquence du PRINCIPE COSMOLOGIQUE de Milne-Einstein. On montre que c'est d'accord avec la théorie de relativité générale d'Einstein (par l'application du Principe d'Action). On appelle cet effet "MASS BOOM". Au système de LABORATOIRE aucune de ces changements du temps ne peuvent pas être détectés, à moins que nous fassions des comparaisons avec des observations cosmologiques (c.--d. en utilisant différentes références loin du LABORATOIRE, par exemple mesurant le décalage rouge des galaxies éloignées en termes de normes de LABORATOIRE). On doit souligner que les conditions physiques implicites par une masse variable avec le temps, comme la MASS BOOM établit, ainsi qu'une vitesse de lumière variable avec le temps, préservant la constance du moment, sont compatibles avec les équations d'Einstein. On intègre alors ses équations cosmologiques et on trouve la solution pour le facteur d'échelle cosmologique $a(t) = \text{constant} \cdot t^2$, ceci implique une expansion accélérée apparente pour l'Univers, comme celui qui est vu depuis le LABORATOIRE. C'est l'interprétation donnée aux observations récentes obtenues partir de la Supernova Type Ia. La dtermination du facteur de d'échelle comme $a(t) = \text{constant} \cdot t^2$ est basée sur une interprétation de LABORATOIRE et est donc un effet apparent. D'autre part nous notons que le produit ct étant une constante détermine l'univers vrai comme un univers statique, de mesure constante, selon la première proposition d'Einstein. Le décalage rouge observé au système de LABORATOIRE est d un vrai rétrécissement du monde de quantum, d la diminution de la taille des particules de quantum déterminées par la décroissante "constant" de Planck $\hbar$ (l'importance des quantum sont de l'ordre $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$, où le mc reste constant).

Mots clés: Cosmologie, principe d'action, constantes physiques fondamentaux, quanta de gravité, supernova, le principe du Mach, Relativit Gnrale.

1 INTRODUCTION

The question of the possibility of existence of time-varying physical constants in Nature has been very much addressed in the literature. In particular much work has been done on the possibility that the gravitational constant G may be varying with time. No significant experimental evidence exists in support of such time variations

in the fundamental physical constants. In this work we find that the gravitational masses, and the speed of light c, consistent with Einstein's Theory of general Relativity, vary with time. Their product mc is constant, as required by the constancy of momentum (the most preserved principle in Nature). We prove that a time-varying c implies a time varying G and viceversa. We apply the Action Principle, Mach's principle, what we call the Total Interaction principle, and the emission rate of gravity quanta, to find a universal effect that we call "Mass Boom", a linear increase of mass with cosmological time and therefore a cosmological effect. Weinberg's relation also supports this conclusion. The increase of mass with time (the Mass Boom) is "compensated" by a universal negative energy boom present in the background gravitational field. This is a result, already present in the scientific work, implied in a "free lunch" idea of creation of the Universe. Polarization of the vacuum in the form of positive (Mass Boom) and negative energy (the gravitational field) is the explanation for the gravity quanta emission from particles[3] producing the universal gravitational attraction introduced by Newton.

The Mass Boom[1] implies that the speed of light decreases with time, as required by the constancy of momentum. We then integrate Einstein's cosmological equations under the assumption of a cosmological scale factor $a(t)$ varying as t^x (and for the flat case, $k = 0$). With the solution $\Omega_m = 1/3$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 2/3$, i.e. a ratio $\Omega_m/\Omega_\Lambda = L = 2$ we find the value of x to be 2, which implies an apparent (from the LAB) accelerated expansion for the Universe. The ratio L may have varied through the history of the Universe implying deceleration or acceleration depending on its value at each time. But the "real" Universe is of constant size, $ct =$ constant, the red shift being a result of the "real" contraction of the quantum world.

2 THE ACTION INTEGRAL

Einstein's field equations can be derived from an action integral following the Least Action Principle. In standard General Relativity one has for the action integral[2]:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= I_G + I_M \\ A &= -c^3/\left(16\pi G\right) \int R(g)^{1/2}\, d^4x + I_M \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where I_M is the matter action and I_G the gravitational term. Then one obtains the field equations

$$G^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \left(G/c^4\right) \cdot T^{\mu\nu} \tag{2}$$

We assume a space-time metric and use the Robertson-Walker model that satisfies the Weyl postulate and the cosmological principle, i.e.

$$ds^2 = c(t)^2\, dt^2 - R(t)^2 \left\{ dr^2/\left(1 - kr^2\right) + r^2 \left(d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2\right) \right\} \tag{3}$$

Einstein's equations (2) follow from the Action (1) provided that the variation of the coefficient in the integral in equation (1) be zero. Then

$$c^3/(16\pi G) = \text{constant} \tag{4}$$

We see that the assumption of a time varying G must include a time varying c to preserve the form of the field equations.

On the other hand, the action for a free material point is

$$A = -mc \int ds \tag{5}$$

To preserve standard mechanics we make the momentum mc constant, independent from the expansion of the Universe, then

$$mc = \text{constant} \tag{6}$$

This condition implies that any time variation in m requires a time variation in c. With the constancies expressed in (4) and (6), General Relativity is preserved and of course the Newtonian mechanics too. Within these limits time variations of some of the fundamental constants, G, c and masses, are allowed in the sense of preserving the laws of physics, as we know them today. Einstein's field equations are therefore compatible with this time variations provided that both (4) and (6) hold.

3 MACH'S PRINCIPLE

One way to have a mathematical expression for Mach's principle is to say that the gravitational potential energy of any mass m, with respect to the mass M_u of the rest of the seeable Universe with size ct, is of the order of the relativistic energy of the mass mc^2 i.e.

$$\frac{GM_u m}{ct} \approx mc^2 \tag{7}$$

Hence, using the relation (4) we get in a certain system of units

$$G = c^3 \tag{8}$$

and therefore substituting G in (7) we finally have

$$M_u = t \tag{9}$$

This is what we call the Mass Boom[1]. It implies that the mass of the Universe increases linearly with time. If the number of particles in the seeable Universe is constant, then their mass must be increasing linearly with time too, in accordance

with the idea of the particles emitting gravity quanta of negative mass[3]. The resultant model for the Universe is then a static one full of positive energy particles, increasing their mass with time (not the number of particles that is a constant), together with the negative energy background of gravitational potential that becomes more and more negative with time. This is a polarization picture, a "free lunch" model for the Universe. From (6) we see that the speed of light must be decreasing with time. It is proportional to $1/t$, an idea already advanced in many works. Also an increase of the mass of the Universe with cosmological time has been postulated in the past[4,5] by some authors like Dirac (1937) and Arnot (1941). However, their postulated increase of mass for the Universe is due to particle creation. In our case particle creation is not present. It is the mass m of each particle that increases linearly with time, giving the final result of a Mass Boom. Also, in our case this increase is responsible for the gravitational force, through the emission of gravity quanta of negative mass[3] . And the Mass Boom is compensated by the decreasing negative energy of the gravitational field due to the addition of more and more negative gravity quanta. This result is in contrast with the assumption made by Milne[6] in 1937 (and earlier papers). He derived the same relation (7) and took the mass M_u as well as the speed of light c as constants. Then he obtained G proportional to t. Obviously this approach of Milne does not satisfy the condition in (4) for G to be proportional to c^3, a condition necessary to derive the Einstein's field equations from the Action Principle. The difference between Milne's approach and ours is that he postulated his own relativistic theory, different from the General Theory of Relativity of Einstein. By contrast we keep Einstein's field equations as the valid ones for cosmology.

We present now what we call the Total Interaction Principle. It is a mathematical expression that follows the requirement that all the gravitational interactions in the Universe must have a mean free path, under a Newtonian point of view, of the order of the size of the Universe. Then,

$$ct \approx \frac{1}{n\sigma_g} \tag{10}$$

where n is the number density of particles in the Universe and σ_g their gravitational cross section as defined elsewhere[3] and given by

$$\sigma_g = 4\pi \frac{Gm}{c^2} \cdot ct \tag{11}$$

Substituting the above into (10) one has

$$ct \approx \frac{(ct)^3}{\frac{GM_u}{c^2}\, ct} \tag{12}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{GM_u}{c^2} \approx ct \tag{13}$$

which is the same as (7), Mach's Principle.

We therefore have 3 principles, Action Integral, Mach's and the Total Interaction, that converge into the same conclusion. This is a strong theoretical drive to conclude that the mass of the Universe, and therefore the mass of any fundamental particle, increases linearly with time: the Mass Boom that we have proposed elsewhere[1].

Furthermore, by using the mass of the quantum of gravity m_g defined elsewhere[3] as

$$|m_g| = \frac{\hbar}{c^2 t} \tag{14}$$

Let us call m the mass of a typical quantum particle. The time τ for one quantum of gravity of mass m_g to be emitted by the particle of mass m must be of the order of the time taken for light to travel a Compton size $\hbar/mc^2$. Calculating the mass rate of change dm/dt as given by the ratio m_g/t, one has:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} \approx \frac{\hbar}{c^2 t}\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} = \frac{m}{t} \tag{15}$$

and equivalently we obtain from this

$$\frac{dm}{m} \approx \frac{dt}{t}$$

This equation is immediately integrated to give the solution

$$m = \text{const} \cdot t \tag{16}$$

and therefore we obtain again the Mass Boom effect.

To increase the evidence in favor of the Mass Boom concept we refer now to Weinberg's relation[2]:

$$m = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{Gct}\right)^{1/3} \tag{17}$$

One can see that this relation, that gives an order of magnitude for quantum masses m in terms of quantum mechanical, gravitational and relativity quantities ($\hbar$, G and c), as well as the cosmological time t, implies from (4), (and $\hbar =$ constant at the LAB), $\left(m^3c^3\right) \cdot ct =$ constant. Using now (6) we finally get the fundamental relation $ct =$ constant. Since from (6) we have $mc =$ constant we also have the other fundamental relation of this theory $m \propto t$, the Mass Boom again. We can also see that Weinberg's relation (17) can be derived by equating the square of the Compton wavelength of the mass m to the gravitational cross section (11).

4 LABORATORY PHYSICS AND COSMOLOGY

The key point when measurements of fundamental physical constants are made at the LAB, with no reference to cosmological observation and/or cosmological pa-

rameters, is that we can not escape of making relative comparisons, ratios of the same dimensional properties. Then there is no way to detect any possible time variation of this "constants" because all measurements are relative ones, lengths to lengths, mass-to-mass etc. If there is any time variation with the same law for example for lengths, or for masses, the relative comparison makes the time variation undetectable. This is a clear and well-known fact.

However, the well known theorem of the conservation of energy has to be reinterpreted now. Energy, in our approach, varies with time as $mc^2 = mc{\cdot}c = \text{const}{\cdot}c \propto 1/t$ i.e. energy decreases with time. By Noether's theorem there would be no invariance under time displacements, or equivalently the time coordinate would not be homogeneous (in a similar way the homogeneity of space implies the conservation of momentum). Then, from a quantum mechanical point of view, based upon Heisenberg's relation, energy x time should be constant at the LAB (equal to Plancks constant). We conclude that the time measured at the LAB is not homogeneous, i.e., the tics from the clocks we use must be proportional to the cosmological time t.

We can check this result. The atomic clocks have a tic proportional to $\hbar/mc^2$. Since $\hbar$ is constant (LAB) and mc is also constant (always) then the tic is inversely proportional to c, i.e., directly proportional to time t. And the same happens if we use gravitational clocks (periods of revolution T): by Kepler's third law T^2 is proportional to the cube of the size$r$ of the orbit divided by GM, that is $c^3{\cdot}M = \text{constant}{\cdot}c2$ (using again our relations in (4) and (6)). In fact the size of the orbits is constant in our theory: $v^2 \approx GM/r \propto c^2/r$. From Special Relativity $v/c = \text{constant}$ and therefore $r = \text{constant}$. The conservation of angular momentum, $mvr = \text{constant}$, gives the same result. And this is a very important one: the sizes of the Newtonian orbits are constant. And so is the size of the Universe. Kepler's third law gives then the result we have envisaged: periods are proportinal to the cosmological time t. Planck's unit of time is also porportional to t at the LAB, with a constant $\hbar$. We have proved that masses increase linearly with time, and the speed of light decreases accordingly. However, the experiments to measure the time variations of these "constants" will not succeed in seeing them. For example, the lunar ranging experiments based upon lunar dynamics, that considers the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} v^2 &= \frac{GM}{r} \\ mvr &= \text{const.} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

concludes that G is practically constant. Now, the elimination of the speed v implies the following relation used in the analysis of lunar ranging data:

$$GMm^2r = \text{const} \tag{19}$$

This last relation gives, using $G = c^3$ and the masses M and $m \propto t$, $c \propto 1/t$, the final result of $r =$constant. This is what is observed: no time variation in G because this

"constant" combines with the masses to give a real constant. The product GMm^2 is obviously a constant in this theory. If we take the masses as constant, then G is obviously a constant. *It is impossible to measure any time variation in G in his way.*

5 COSMOLOGICAL EQUATIONS

The Einstein cosmological equations derived from his General Theory of Relativity are

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} + 8\pi\, G\frac{p}{c^2} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} &= \Lambda\, c^2 \\ \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{8\pi}{3}\, G\rho + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} &= \frac{\Lambda\, c^2}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Using a flat Universe ($k = 0$), consistent with recent observations, and a zero pressure Universe as given by an average zero thermal speed for galaxies (the atoms of the Universe) one has

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{2\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2} &= 3\Omega_\Lambda \\ 1 &= \Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_m &= \frac{8\pi}{3}\,\frac{G\rho a^2}{\dot{a}^2} \\ \Omega_\Lambda &= \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}\,\frac{a^2}{\dot{a}^2} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Let us assume a law for the cosmological scale factor a of the form

$$a(t) = A\, t^x \tag{23}$$

where A and x are constants and t the age of the Universe. Defining a parameter L as

$$L = \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_\Lambda} \tag{24}$$

one derives from (21), (23) and (24)

$$x = \frac{2}{3}\,(1 + L) \tag{25}$$

Present numerical values for the parameters are very close to

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\Lambda &= \frac{2}{3} \\ \Omega_m &= \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

i.e. $L = 2$, consistent with many observations. Then one has from (28) $x = 2$ and therefore $a(t) = At^2$. The Universe is now accelerated, as observed from the Supernova Type Ia[7, 8] results, with an acceleration parameter given by

$$q_0 = -\frac{\ddot{a}\, a}{\dot{a}^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \tag{27}$$

The result is an apparent expansion of the Universe as $a(t) = At^2$. Since the "real" Universe is of constant size, ct =constant, the interpretation of the red shift is now that the constant wavelengths of the photons coming from distant galaxies when compared with the LAB reference we get the alternative result that it is the LAB wavelength that shrinks ($\hbar/mc$ is proportional to $\hbar$ and therefore the red shift observed, proportional to $1/t^2$, gives $\hbar$ proportional to $1/t^2$). Hence de De Broglie wavelengths (sizes of quantum particles) shrink like $\hbar$. And now we conclude that the "natural or universal" units of time and mass are the Planck's units of time and mass with the precise value h = c2 . The "natural or universal" unit of length is of course the size of the Universe, ct = constant $\approx 10^{28}$ cm.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented here strong theoretical reasons, based upon fundamental principles, pointing towards a "Mass Boom", a linear increase of all gravitational masses with cosmological time. Correspondingly the speed of light decreases linearly with time. Hence the momentum is conserved. The Einstein's cosmological equations, with the present values of the cosmological parameters (flatness, mass, dark energy) predict an accelerated expansion of the Universe as seen from the Lab system, in agreement with present day observations. One can not expect to detect the increase of masses, or the decrease of the speed of light, from laboratory experiments: the natural references used preclude the observation of these cosmological effects. But the coherence of the approach presented here strongly suggests that the gravity quanta emission mechanism, presented elsewhere[3], has a sound theoretical basis to be considered as a link between General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics. Then, reinterpretation of the red shift is necessary.

Since the product ct is a constant, the immediate interpretation is that the model of the Universe we are getting at is of constant size: no expansion is present at large scales. However, at the Lab system we see a red shift from distant galaxies. We have to reinterpret this red shift: there is no real expansion of the Universe, but there is a real contraction of the quantum world as given by the decrease in Planck's constant. The constancy of the size of the Universe obviously implies a constant wavelength for the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation. And the *apparent* expansion of the Universe follows a t^2 law, an accelerated expansion (a constant

one). Correspondingly, the quantum world is shrinking as $1/t^2 2$ due to the relation $\hbar = c^2 = 1/t^2$.

7 REFERENCES

[1] A. Alfonso-Faus, 2001. *Frontiers of Fundamental Physics 4* page 309, Kluwer Academic. "FFP 4" Hyderabad, India, 2003 arXiv:physics/0302058 v1.

[2] S. Weinberg, 1972, *Grav. and Cosm.*, (NY, John Wiley & Sons).

[3] A. Alfonso-Faus, 1999, *Physics Essays*, Vol. 12 N4 December, and ArXiv:gr-qc/0002065 v1.

[4] Dirac, P., *Nature*, 139, 323 (1937).

[5] Arnot, F.L., *Time and the Universe*, Aust. Med. Pub. Sidney, 1941.

[6] Milne, E.A., *Proc. Roy, Soc. m A*, 158, 324 (1937).

[7] S. Perlmutter, et al., astro-ph/9812133, 1999, *Ap J*, 517, 565.

[8] A. Riess et al. 1998, *A J*, 116, 1009.